

# A SPHERICITY CRITERION FOR STRICTLY PSEUDOCONVEX HYPERSURFACES IN $\mathbb{C}^2$ VIA INVARIANT CURVES

FLORIAN BERTRAND, GIUSEPPE DELLA SALA AND BERNHARD LAMEL

ABSTRACT. We prove that if every chain on a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface  $M$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  coincides with the boundary of a stationary disc, then  $M$  is locally spherical.

## INTRODUCTION

Every strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  bounding a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$  carries two natural, biholomorphically invariant families of real curves: the so-called *chains* and boundaries of *stationary discs*. These come from very different types of geometrical constructions. Chains have been introduced by Chern and Moser [5] as the CR geometry analogue of geodesics in Riemannian geometry. Stationary discs, on the other hand, are the solutions to the Euler-Lagrange equations of Kobayashi extremal discs in  $\Omega$ . If  $M$  is in addition real-analytic, it carries a third natural biholomorphically invariant family of real curves: traces of Segre varieties. A theorem of Faran [6] shows that if the traces of Segre varieties agree with the chains, then  $M$  is locally spherical. In a former paper [1] we showed that if the traces of Segre varieties agree with the traces of stationary discs, then  $M$  is also locally spherical.

In this paper, we address the remaining question: If the traces of stationary discs coincide with chains, is  $M$  also necessarily spherical? We have been asked this repeatedly when presenting the results in [1], and it turns out that the answer is also yes. The natural setting for this question is for smooth hypersurfaces.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $M$  is a smooth strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . If the chains of  $M$  are boundaries of stationary discs, then  $M$  is locally spherical.*

In order to prove this theorem, we cannot utilize the cited results. Instead, we rely on Fefferman's characterization of chains as projections of light rays of an associated Lorentz metric and analyzing its Hamiltonian. We construct a special family of chains centered at the origin and show that if each of the members of this family is the trace of a stationary disc, then the origin is an umbilical point.

The organization of this paper is to review the basics in section 1, summarize facts about the chains in section 2, and give the proof of a (slightly sharpened) version of the theorem in section 3.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

**1.1. The Chern-Moser normal form and chains.** We first recall that the group of germs of biholomorphisms  $G = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}^2, 0)$  of the Heisenberg hypersurface  $\mathbb{H}^2 \subset$

---

Research of the first two authors was supported by a Research Group Linkage Programme from the Humboldt Foundation, a URB grant from the American University of Beirut, a grant from Mamdouha El-Sayed Bobst Deanship Fund and by the Center for Advanced Mathematical Sciences.

$\mathbb{C}_{z_2, z_1}^2$  (defined by  $\operatorname{Re} z_1 = |z_2|^2$ ) fixing the origin are given explicitly by

$$H(z_1, z_2) = (g(z_1, z_2), f(z_1, z_2)) = \left( \frac{|\lambda|^2 z_1}{1 + 2\bar{a}z_2 + (|a|^2 + it)z_1}, \frac{\lambda(z_2 + az_1)}{1 + 2\bar{a}z_2 + (|a|^2 + it)z_1} \right).$$

They are therefore uniquely determined by the derivatives  $(f_{z_2}(0), f_{z_1}(0), \operatorname{Im} g_{z_1^2}(0)) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Using this, we identify  $G$  with  $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

If we consider a germ of a strictly pseudoconvex real-analytic hypersurface  $(M, p) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, p)$ , given (assuming  $p = 0$ ) by an equation of the form

$$\operatorname{Re} z_1 = |z_2|^2 + \varphi(z_2, \bar{z}_2, \operatorname{Im} z_1) = |z_2|^2 + \sum_{\alpha, \bar{\beta}} \varphi_{\alpha, \bar{\beta}}(\operatorname{Im} u) z_2^\alpha \bar{z}_2^{\bar{\beta}}.$$

then in this particular context the celebrated Chern-Moser normal form [5] implies that for any choice of *normalization parameter*  $\Lambda \in G$  there exists a unique holomorphic choice of *normal coordinates* in which the equation of  $M$  satisfies the *normalization conditions*

$$\varphi_{\alpha, \bar{\beta}}(\operatorname{Im} u) = 0, \text{ if } \min(\alpha, \bar{\beta}) \leq 1 \text{ or } (\alpha, \bar{\beta}) \in \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (2, 3), (3, 2)\}.$$

The coordinate change  $H_\Lambda$  into normal coordinates is uniquely determined by  $\Lambda$  by requiring that its corresponding derivatives match  $\Lambda$ .

The lowest order term in the defining equation  $\varphi$  of  $M$  in normal coordinates which is not necessarily vanishing is therefore of the form  $A_p z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^4 + \bar{A}_p z_2^4 \bar{z}_2^2$ . The number  $A$  transforms nicely under changes of normal coordinates and is called the *Cartan's cubic tensor*. It already appears in Cartan's early work [3, 4], and it being 0 is a biholomorphic invariant; such points are called *umbilical points*. For ease of notation later on, we always normalize  $A_p$  to be real. If  $A_p$  vanishes for  $p$  in an open set, then it turns out that  $M$  is locally spherical, i.e. locally equivalent near each point of that set to  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . The same holds true for a  $C^6$  hypersurface, for which we can still define the cubic tensor.

Chern and Moser used their normal form to introduce the notion of *chains* as replacements for geodesics in Riemannian geometry. For each  $\Lambda = (\lambda, a, t) \in G$ , we obtain a parametrized curve (defined for  $|s|$  small enough)

$$\gamma(s) = H_\Lambda(is, 0).$$

If one disregards the parametrization of  $\gamma$ , then it turns out that the condition  $\varphi_{2, \bar{3}} = \varphi_{3, \bar{2}} = 0$  is a *second order ODE* whose solution is unique given  $a$  (which one thinks of as a vector transverse to the complex tangent space  $T_0^c M$ ). The rest of the data in  $\Lambda$  geometrically corresponds to a choice of frame of  $T_0^c M$  and a choice of parametrization of  $\gamma$  amongst a family of projectively equivalent ones. The second order differential equations for chains are not easy to compute from a defining equation of  $M$ . For boundaries of strictly pseudoconvex domains, the best way to get a computational handle on chains seems to be their interpretation as projections of light rays of an associated Lorentz metric introduced by Fefferman [8] which we discuss in the next section.

**1.2. Chains and the Fefferman Hamiltonian.** We will now recall the Fefferman metric for a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface  $M = \{\rho = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ . We write  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and we assume that  $(y_1, x_2, y_2)$  are local coordinates on  $M$  near the origin, which we assume to be defined by

$$\rho(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = x_1 - (x_2^2 + y_2^2) - \varphi(y_1, x_2, y_2).$$

The constructive appeal of Fefferman's metric is based on the fact that for the complex Monge-Ampère operator

$$J(\rho) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \rho & \rho_{\bar{z}_1} & \rho_{\bar{z}_2} \\ \rho_{z_1} & \rho_{z_1\bar{z}_1} & \rho_{z_1\bar{z}_2} \\ \rho_{z_2} & \rho_{z_2\bar{z}_1} & \rho_{z_2\bar{z}_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

one can construct approximate solutions  $\rho^{(k)}$  to the equation  $J(\rho^{(k)}) = 1 + O(\rho^{k+1})$  in an iterative way, in this particular case by

$$\rho^{(1)} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt[3]{J(\rho)}}, \quad \rho^{(2)} = \rho^{(1)} \left( \frac{5 - J(\rho^{(1)})}{4} \right).$$

The Fefferman metric is defined on a circle bundle over  $M$ . Let us denote by  $(x_0, y_1, x_2, y_2)$  the coordinates on  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times M$ . The conjugate momenta will be denoted by  $p_{x_0}, p_{y_1}, p_{x_2}$  and  $p_{y_2}$ . There is a lot of flexibility in which metric is actually used, because the light rays of conformally equivalent Lorentz metrics are the same. The one defined in [8] is

$$ds^2 = -\frac{i}{3} (\partial\rho^{(2)} - \bar{\partial}\rho^{(2)}) dx_0 + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 \frac{\partial^2 \rho^{(2)}}{\partial z_j \bar{z}_k} dz_j d\bar{z}_k.$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= J(\rho), \\ A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\rho_{\bar{z}_1} & i\rho_{\bar{z}_2} \\ -i\rho_{z_1} & 3\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_1} & 3\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_2} \\ -i\rho_{z_2} & 3\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_1} & 3\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ P &= (p_{x_0}, ip_{y_1}, p_{x_2} + ip_{y_2}) \\ \bar{\partial}\Phi &= (0, \Phi_{\bar{z}_1}, \Phi_{\bar{z}_2}) \\ \tilde{\Phi} &= \left( 3\Phi_{j\bar{k}} - \frac{5}{\Phi} \Phi_j \Phi_{\bar{k}} \right)_{j,k}, \end{aligned}$$

the Hamiltonian of Fefferman's metric is now given by

$$(1.1) \quad H = PA^{-1}P^* - \frac{2p_{x_0}}{\Phi} \operatorname{Im} (\bar{\partial}\Phi \cdot A^{-1} \cdot P^*) - \frac{p_{x_0}^2}{2\Phi} \operatorname{Tr} (\tilde{\Phi}A^{-1}),$$

where  $\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}A^{-1})$  stands for the trace of the matrix  $\tilde{\Phi}A^{-1}$ . Note that the formula of the Hamiltonian in [8, p. 410] contains a minor sign mistake, see [7]. Writing  $x = (x_0, y_1, x_2, y_2)$ , and  $p = (p_{x_0}, p_{y_1}, p_{x_2}, p_{y_2})$ , chains are the projections on  $M$  of the solutions of the Hamiltonian system

$$(1.2) \quad H(x, p) = 0, \quad x' = H_p(x, p), \quad p' = -H_x(x, p).$$

We are now ready to discuss a basic example.

*Example 2.* In the case of the sphere  $2\operatorname{Re} z_1 = |z_2|^2$ ,

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ -i & -\frac{|z_2|^2}{3} & -\frac{z_2}{3} \\ 0 & -\frac{\bar{z}_2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For convenience, and since light rays for  $H$  or  $3H$  are the same, we consider

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3i & 0 \\ -3i & -|z_2|^2 & -z_2 \\ 0 & -\bar{z}_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In that case the Fefferman Hamiltonian is given by

$$(1.3) \quad H = PA^{-1}P^* = 6p_{x_0}p_{y_1} - |z_2|^2p_{y_1}^2 + 2y_2p_{y_1}p_{x_2} - 2x_2p_{y_1}p_{y_2} - p_{x_2}^2 - p_{y_2}^2.$$

Now, we seek solution curves  $(x_0(t), y_1(t), x_2(t), y_2(t), p_{x_0}(t), p_{y_1}(t), p_{x_2}(t), p_{y_2}(t))$  to the Hamiltonian system (1.2), which written out is given by

$$\begin{cases} 0 = 6p_{x_0}p_{y_1} - |z_2|^2p_{y_1}^2 + 2y_2p_{y_1}p_{x_2} - 2x_2p_{y_1}p_{y_2} - p_{x_2}^2 - p_{y_2}^2 \\ x_0' = 6p_{y_1} \\ y_1' = 6p_{x_0} - 2|z_2|^2p_{y_1} + 2y_2p_{x_2} - 2x_2p_{y_2} \\ x_2' = 2y_2p_{y_1} - 2p_{x_2} \\ y_2' = -2x_2p_{y_1} - 2p_{y_2} \\ p_{x_0}' = 0 \\ p_{y_1}' = 0 \\ p_{x_2}' = 2x_2p_{y_1}^2 + 2p_{y_1}p_{y_2} \\ p_{y_2}' = 2y_2p_{y_1}^2 - 2p_{y_1}p_{x_2} \end{cases}$$

To solve this system, note that we have  $p_{y_1} = \frac{c}{4}$  for some  $c$  so that we get  $x_2'' = cy_2'$  and  $y_2'' = -cx_2'$ , and therefore  $z_2 = c_1e^{-ict} + c_2$  for some  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . It remains to determine  $y_1$ . Next we note that the quantity  $y_2p_{x_2} - x_2p_{y_2}$  is conserved and solving one sees that the chains are the curves of the form

$$\begin{aligned} z_1(t) &= \frac{1}{2}|c_1e^{-ict} + c_2|^2 + i(\tilde{c}_1t + \tilde{c}_2 \cos(ct) + \tilde{c}_3 \sin(ct) + \tilde{c}_4) \\ z_2(t) &= c_1e^{-ict} + c_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{c}_j \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We will now assign weights to all variables in the following way. The usual anisotropic scaling on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $\Lambda_\delta : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\delta z_1, \delta^2 z_2)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , lifts to the cotangent bundle as

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_\delta : (z_1, z_2, p_{z_1}, p_{z_2}) \mapsto (\delta z_1, \delta^2 z_2, \delta^{-1} p_{z_1}, \delta^{-2} p_{z_2}).$$

This leads to assign the respective natural weights 1, 2,  $-1$ ,  $-2$  and  $-2$  to the variables  $z_1, z_2, p_{y_1}, p_{x_2}$  and  $p_{y_2}$ . The variables  $x_0$  and  $p_{x_0}$  both carry a weight 0. However, with this convention, the Hamiltonian for the sphere (1.3) is homogeneous of degree  $-2$ . It will be more convenient for us if the Hamiltonian (1.3) is homogeneous of degree 2, and so we shift the weights of the momenta by 2. To summarize, we assign the following weights

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{wt } x_0 &= 0, & \text{wt } y_1 &= 2, & \text{wt } x_2 &= \text{wt } y_2 = \text{wt } z_2 = 1, \\ \text{wt } p_{x_0} &= 2, & \text{wt } p_{y_1} &= 0, & \text{wt } p_{x_2} &= \text{wt } p_{y_2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

**1.3. Stationary discs.** We recall that a holomorphic disc  $f = (g, h)$ , with  $g, h \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \cap C(\bar{\Delta})$  is said to be *attached* to  $M$  if  $f(b\Delta) \subset M$ . An attached disc  $f$  is said to be *stationary* if it has a “lift” which is holomorphic up to a pole of order at most 1 in  $\Delta$  and attached to the conormal bundle of  $M$ . In term of equations, this means that there exists a real-valued positive function  $a$  on  $b\Delta$  such that the map  $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{g}, \tilde{h})$  defined by

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(\zeta) &= \zeta a(\zeta) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_1} \left( f(\zeta), \overline{f(\zeta)} \right) \\ \tilde{h}(\zeta) &= \zeta a(\zeta) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_2} \left( f(\zeta), \overline{f(\zeta)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $\zeta \in b\Delta$ , extends holomorphically to  $\Delta$ . To deal with this extension property, we will use the well known fact (see [1] for a proof) that a continuous function  $\varphi : b\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined on the smooth boundary of a simply connected domain  $\Omega$  extends holomorphically to  $\Omega$  if and only if it satisfies the *moment conditions*

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{b\Omega} \zeta^m \varphi(\zeta) d\zeta = 0 \quad \text{for all } m \geq 0.$$

It turns out that if  $M$  is strictly pseudoconvex, then its conormal bundle is actually totally real [15], and so the attachment of stationary discs turns into a standard Riemann-Hilbert problem [13, 9, 10, 11]. In the case of the model hypersurface  $2\text{Re } z_1 = |z_2|^2$ , a typical stationary disc  $f$  passing through 0 at 1 (i.e.  $f(1) = 0$ ) is  $f(\zeta) = (1 - \zeta, 1 - \zeta)$  and its lifts are given by  $(1 - \zeta, 1 - \zeta, a\zeta, a(\zeta - 1))$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The boundary traces of stationary discs are preserved under (local) CR diffeomorphisms in the following sense. In the case of a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface  $M$ , every CR function on  $M$  extends to the pseudoconvex side of  $M$ . Since the components of a CR map  $H$  are CR functions, the map actually extends to the pseudoconvex side of  $M$ . Therefore, for a small enough stationary disc attached to  $M$ , the disc  $H \circ f$  is attached to  $H(M)$  and is stationary (one just has to use the defining equation  $\tilde{\rho} = \rho \circ H^{-1}$  in (1.5)).

## 2. THE FEFFERMAN HAMILTONIAN IN NORMAL FORM

**2.1. The model case.** Consider a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface of the form

$$M = \{2\text{Re } z_1 = Q(z_2, \bar{z}_2)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

Such hypersurfaces (whose defining equations do not depend on  $\text{Im } z_1$ ) are called *rigid*; the 1-parameter group of transformations  $z_1 \mapsto z_1 + it$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , yields a cyclic variable for the Hamiltonian (1.1).

As before, we write  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  and use  $(x_0, y_1, x_2, y_2)$  as variables on  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times M$ . We also write  $z_0 = e^{i\theta}$  and  $x_0 = \theta$ . We now consider the defining equation

$$\rho = 2\text{Re } z_1 - Q(z_2, \bar{z}_2).$$

In that case, the Fefferman Hamiltonian (1.1) is computed to be

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= PA^{-1}P^* - \frac{2}{3} \frac{p_{x_0}}{(Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2})^2} \text{Im} \left( Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2^2} (iQ_{z_2}p_{y_1} - p_{x_2} + ip_{y_2}) \right) \\ &+ \frac{p_{x_0}^2}{6(Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2})^2} \left( 3Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2^2} - 5 \frac{Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2} Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2^2}}{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the notations  $p_{z_2} = p_{x_2} + ip_{y_2}$  and  $p_{\bar{z}_2} = \overline{p_{z_2}} = p_{x_2} - ip_{y_2}$ , the expression involving  $A$  in (2.1) is explicitly given by

$$PA^{-1}P^* = 2p_{x_0}p_{y_1} - \frac{|Q_{\bar{z}_2}|^2}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}}p_{y_1}^2 + i\frac{Q_{z_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}}p_{y_1}p_{z_2} - i\frac{Q_{\bar{z}_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}}p_{y_1}p_{\bar{z}_2} - \frac{1}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}}|p_{z_2}|^2.$$

Adding the rest of the Hamiltonian, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2^2}}{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2} - \frac{5}{6} \frac{Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2}Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2^2}}{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^3} \right) p_{x_0}^2 + \left( 2 - \frac{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2^2}Q_{z_2} + Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2}Q_{\bar{z}_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2} \right) p_{x_0}p_{y_1} \\ &+ i\frac{Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2}p_{x_0}p_{z_2} - i\frac{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2^2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2}p_{x_0}p_{\bar{z}_2} - \frac{|Q_{\bar{z}_2}|^2}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}}p_{y_1}^2 + i\frac{Q_{z_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}}p_{y_1}p_{z_2} \\ &- i\frac{Q_{\bar{z}_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}}p_{y_1}p_{\bar{z}_2} - \frac{1}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}}|p_{z_2}|^2 \\ &= P \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2^2}}{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2} - \frac{5}{6} \frac{Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2}Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2^2}}{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^3} & i \left( 1 - \frac{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2^2}Q_{z_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2} \right) & -i\frac{Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2^2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2} \\ -i \left( 1 - \frac{Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2}Q_{\bar{z}_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2} \right) & -\frac{|Q_{\bar{z}_2}|^2}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}} & -\frac{Q_{\bar{z}_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}} \\ i\frac{Q_{z_2^2\bar{z}_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}^2} & -\frac{Q_{z_2}}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}} & -\frac{1}{3Q_{z_2\bar{z}_2}} \end{pmatrix} P^*. \end{aligned}$$

As a particular case we consider

$$Q(z_2, \bar{z}_2) = |z_2|^2 + az_2^2\bar{z}_2^4 + \bar{a}z_2^4\bar{z}_2^2 = |z_2|^2 + 2a|z_2|^4\text{Re } z_2^2,$$

where we assume that  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  from now on. Computing up to order 6 (meaning we only keep the lowest order non-spherical term in each coefficient of the Hamiltonian), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= 24a(\text{Re } z_2^2)p_{x_0}^2 + \left( 2 - \frac{64a}{3} (|z_2|^2\text{Re } z_2^2) \right) p_{x_0}p_{y_1} \\ &+ \frac{ia}{3} (24z_2^2\bar{z}_2 + 8\bar{z}_2^3) p_{x_0}p_{z_2} - \frac{ia}{3} (8z_2^3 + 24z_2\bar{z}_2^2) p_{x_0}p_{\bar{z}_2} + \frac{1}{3} (-|z_2|^2 + 4a|z_2|^4\text{Re } z_2^2) p_{y_1}^2 \\ &+ \frac{i}{3} (\bar{z}_2 - az_2 (4|z_2|^4 + 6\bar{z}_2^4)) p_{y_1}p_{z_2} - \frac{i}{3} (z_2 - a\bar{z}_2 (4|z_2|^4 + 6z_2^4)) p_{y_1}p_{\bar{z}_2} \\ &- \frac{1}{3} (1 - 16a|z_2|^2\text{Re } z_2^2) |p_{z_2}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The system of ODEs can now be obtained as in Example 2 with the only difference that  $z_2' = 2\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial p_{z_2}}$ ,  $\bar{z}_2' = 2\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial p_{\bar{z}_2}}$ ,  $p_{z_2}' = -2\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial z_2}$ , and  $p_{\bar{z}_2}' = -2\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial \bar{z}_2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad & 0 = H_0(z_2(t), p_{x_0}(t), p_{y_1}(t), p_{z_2}(t)) \\
& x_0' = 48a(\operatorname{Re} z_2) p_{x_0} + \left(2 - \frac{64a}{3}(|z_2|^2 \operatorname{Re} z_2)\right) p_{y_1} \\
& \quad + \frac{ia}{3}(24z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 + 8\bar{z}_2^3) p_{z_2} - \frac{ia}{3}(8z_2^3 + 24z_2 \bar{z}_2^2) p_{\bar{z}_2} \\
& y_1' = \left(2 - \frac{64a}{3}(|z_2|^2 \operatorname{Re} z_2^2)\right) p_{x_0} + \frac{2}{3}(-|z_2|^2 + 2a|z_2|^4 \operatorname{Re} z_2^2) p_{y_1} \\
& \quad + \frac{i}{3}(\bar{z}_2 - az_2(4|z_2|^4 + 6\bar{z}_2^4)) p_{z_2} - \frac{i}{3}(z_2 + a\bar{z}_2(4|z_2|^4 + 6z_2^4)) p_{\bar{z}_2} \\
& z_2' = -\frac{16ia}{3}(z_2^3 + 3|z_2|^2 \bar{z}_2) p_{x_0} - \frac{2i}{3}(z_2 - a|z_2|^2(6z_2^3 - 4z_2 \bar{z}_2^2)) p_{y_1} \\
& \quad - \frac{2}{3}(1 - 16a|z_2|^2 \operatorname{Re} z_2^2) p_{z_2} \\
& p_{x_0}' = 0 \\
& p_{y_1}' = 0 \\
& p_{z_2}' = -48a\bar{z}_2 p_{x_0}^2 + \frac{64a}{3}(z_2^3 + 3z_2 \bar{z}_2^2) p_{x_0} p_{y_1} - \frac{96ai}{3}(\operatorname{Re} z_2^2) p_{x_0} p_{z_2} \\
& \quad + \frac{96ai}{3}|z_2|^2 p_{x_0} p_{\bar{z}_2} + \frac{2}{3}(z_2 - 4a|z_2|^2(z_2^3 + 2z_2 \bar{z}_2^2)) p_{y_1}^2 + \\
& \quad + \frac{i}{3}(-2 + 16a|z_2|^2(z_2^2 + 3\bar{z}_2^2)) p_{y_1} p_{z_2} - \frac{12ai}{3}(z_2^4 + 2z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2) p_{y_1} p_{\bar{z}_2} \\
& \quad - \frac{16a}{3}(z_2^3 + 3z_2 \bar{z}_2^2) |p_{z_2}|^2
\end{aligned}$$

**2.2. The general case: weighted Taylor expansion of the Fefferman Hamiltonian.** Consider a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ , locally written in Chern-Moser normal form as  $\rho = 0$ , with

$$\rho = 2\operatorname{Re} z_1 - (|z_2|^2 + 2a|z_2|^4 \operatorname{Re} z_2^2 + (\operatorname{Im} z_1) \cdot \eta(\operatorname{Im} z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_2) + \delta(z_2, \bar{z}_2))$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta, \delta$  are functions of weighted orders  $O(6)$  and  $O(7)$  respectively. In the following, we give weighted degrees to all the monomials in the variables  $x_0, y_1, z_2$  and the conjugate momenta  $p_{x_0}, p_{y_1}, p_{z_2}$  according to the weight assignments (1.4).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $H$  and  $H_0$  be the Fefferman Hamiltonians associated respectively to  $\rho$  and  $\rho_0 = 2\operatorname{Re} z_1 - (|z_2|^2 + az_2^2 \bar{z}_2^4 + az_2^4 \bar{z}_2^2)$ . We then have*

$$H = H_0 + O(7).$$

*Proof.* We denote by  $Q_k$  a generic homogeneous polynomial of weighted order  $k$ . We emphasize that, in the below, the polynomials  $Q_k$  are not necessarily the same. Moreover, throughout the computations, each  $Q_k$ ,  $k \leq 6$ , comes directly from  $\rho_0$ . We then write

$$\rho = \rho_0 + O(7) = 2\operatorname{Re} z_1 + Q_2 + Q_6 + O(7).$$

The expression of the Hamiltonian  $H$  associated to  $\rho$  is given by (1.1). We focus first on the terms  $PA^{-1}P^*$ . Computing explicitly the inverse of the matrix  $A$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\rho_{\bar{z}_1} & i\rho_{\bar{z}_2} \\ -i\rho_{z_1} & 3\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_1} & 3\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_2} \\ -i\rho_{z_2} & 3\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_1} & 3\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\
&= \frac{3}{\det A} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 3(\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_1}\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_2} - \rho_{z_1\bar{z}_2}\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_1}) & -i(\rho_{\bar{z}_1}\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_2} - \rho_{\bar{z}_2}\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_2}) & i(\rho_{\bar{z}_1}\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_2} - \rho_{\bar{z}_2}\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_1}) \\ i(\rho_{z_1}\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_2} - \rho_{z_2}\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_2}) & \rho_{z_2}\rho_{\bar{z}_2}/3 & -\rho_{z_2}\rho_{\bar{z}_1}/3 \\ -i(\rho_{z_1}\rho_{z_2\bar{z}_1} - \rho_{z_2}\rho_{z_1\bar{z}_1}) & -\rho_{z_1}\rho_{\bar{z}_2}/3 & \rho_{z_1}\rho_{\bar{z}_1}/3 \end{pmatrix}} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} O(4) & Q_0 + Q_4 + O(5) & O(5) \\ Q_0 + Q_4 + O(5) & Q_2 + Q_6 + O(7) & Q_1 + Q_5 + O(6) \\ O(5) & Q_1 + Q_5 + O(6) & Q_0 + O(6) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

with  $\frac{1}{\det A} = Q_0 + Q_4 + O(5)$ . It follows that

$$PA^{-1}P^* = Q_2 + Q_6 + O(7).$$

We now consider the terms  $\frac{2p_{x_0}}{\Phi} \text{Im} (\bar{\partial}\Phi \cdot A^{-1} \cdot P^*)$ . By a similar computation, we obtain

$$\bar{\partial}\Phi = (0, \Phi_{\bar{z}_1}, \Phi_{\bar{z}_2}) = (0, O(4), Q_3 + O(4)),$$

and thus

$$\frac{2p_{x_0}}{\Phi} \text{Im} (\bar{\partial}\Phi \cdot A^{-1} \cdot P^*) = Q_6 + O(7).$$

Finally, we also have

$$\frac{p_{x_0}^2}{2\Phi} \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}A^{-1}) = Q_6 + O(7).$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let us reformulate our main theorem in the way we will prove it.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $M \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$  be a strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface of class  $\mathcal{C}^{12}$  with local defining equation of the form*

$$\rho = 2\text{Re } z_1 - (|z_2|^2 + 2a|z_2|^4\text{Re } z_2^2 + (\text{Im } z_1) \cdot \eta(\text{Im } z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_2) + \delta(z_2, \bar{z}_2)),$$

where  $\eta$  and  $\delta$  are of weighted order  $O(6)$  and  $O(7)$  respectively. If every chain for  $M$  for a family of starting conditions as in Lemma 5 is the boundary of a stationary disc then  $a = 0$ .

In order to prove Theorem 4, we compute an asymptotic expansion of a family of chains which would come from circles in the case of the sphere, and find that the moment conditions for the members of the family yield an obstruction to umbilicity in the fourth order term of that expansion. The details are as follows.



**3.1. Computations of the orbits.** We will use a special family of solutions of the Hamiltonian system associated to  $\rho$  depending on a small real parameter  $s > 0$ . This will be achieved by making a suitable choice of initial conditions imposed in order to reproduce the circular orbits in the case of the sphere  $\{2\operatorname{Re} z_1 = |z_2|^2\}$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $x_0(\cdot, 0), \varphi, \psi, \xi$  be four functions in  $s$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^7$ . Then there exists a family of initial conditions of the form*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} y_1(s, 0) &= s^2\varphi(s) \\ z_2(s, 0) &= s + s^5\psi(s) \\ p_{x_0}(s, 0) &= -\frac{1}{2}s^2 + s^6\chi(s) \\ p_{y_1}(s, 0) &= -\frac{3}{4} \\ p_{z_2}(s, 0) &= -\frac{3i}{4}s + s^5\xi(s) \end{aligned}$$

for some function  $\chi$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^7$  such that

$$(3.2) \quad H(x_0(s, 0), y_1(s, 0), z_2(s, 0), p_{x_0}(s, 0), p_{y_1}(s, 0), p_{z_2}(s, 0)) = 0,$$

where  $H$  is the Fefferman Hamiltonian associated to  $\rho$ .

*Proof.* Substituting the initial conditions (3.1) into the formula of the Hamiltonian and using Lemma 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(24as^2 + O(s^6)) \left( \frac{1}{4}s^4 - s^8\chi + s^{12}\chi^2 \right) + \\ &\left( 2 - \frac{64}{3}as^4 + O(s^8) \right) \left( \frac{3}{8}s^2 - \frac{3}{4}s^6\chi \right) + (16as^4 + O(s^8)) \left( -\frac{1}{2}s^2 + s^6\chi \right) + \\ &\frac{3}{16}(-s^2 + O(s^6)) - \frac{3}{8}(s^2 + O(s^6)) - \frac{3}{16}s^2 + O(s^6) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the  $O(\cdot)$  terms in the expression above may depend on  $s, \alpha, x_0(\cdot, 0), \varphi, \psi, \xi$  but not  $\chi$ . Developing the products explicitly, we note that the  $s^2$  terms (coming only from the spherical part of the Hamiltonian) simplify, while the next lowest order terms are  $O(s^6)$ , giving the following:

$$s^6 \left( \left( -\frac{3}{2} + O(s) \right) \chi + O(s^6)\chi^2 + O(1) \right) = 0$$

where once again the  $O(\cdot)$  terms do not depend on  $\chi$ . Applying the implicit function theorem to the expression inside the parenthesis, we conclude that for any choice of  $x_0(\cdot, 0), \varphi, \psi, \xi$  there exists locally a unique function  $\chi(s)$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^7$  such that Equation (3.2) is satisfied.  $\square$

This choice of initial conditions then provides the following family of solutions of the Hamiltonian system associated to  $\rho$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad & x_0(s, t) = x_0^0(t) + \dots \\
& y_1(s, t) = s^2 y_1^2(t) + \dots \\
& z_2(s, t) = s z_2^1(t) + s^2 z_2^2(t) + \dots \\
& p_{x_0}(s, t) = -\frac{1}{2} s^2 + s^6 \chi(s) + \dots \\
& p_{y_1}(s, t) = -\frac{3}{4} + \dots \\
& p_{z_2}(s, t) = s p_{z_2}^1(t) + s^2 p_{z_2}^2(t) + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

*Remark 6.* For our later computations, the most important components of the solutions are  $y_1(s, t)$  and  $z_2(s, t)$ . Due to the expression of the defining function, the terms involving  $y_1(s, t)$  appear to high order, and for this reason, it is enough to know that  $y_1(s, t)$  is of order  $O(s^2)$ . As for  $z_2(s, t)$ , we need to know more precisely its asymptotic behavior, beyond the fact that its order is  $O(s)$ .

**Lemma 7.** *We have*

$$z_2(s, t) = s e^{it} - \frac{4}{3} s^5 a e^{3it} + O(s^6).$$

*Proof.* According to Lemma 3, solving the Hamiltonian system associated to  $\rho$  up to order  $s^5$  is equivalent to solving the system (2.2). We will proceed iteratively by expanding in powers of  $s$ . The terms of order  $s$  in the equations for  $z_2'$  and  $p_{z_2}'$  give the system

$$\begin{aligned}
z_2^{1'}(t) &= \frac{i}{2} z_2^1(t) - \frac{2}{3} p_{z_2}^1(t) \\
p_{z_2}^{1'}(t) &= \frac{3}{8} z_2^1(t) + \frac{i}{2} p_{z_2}^1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the initial conditions  $z_2^1(0) = 1$  and  $p_{z_2}^1(0) = -\frac{3i}{4}$ , we get  $z_2^1(t) = e^{it}$  and  $p_{z_2}^1(t) = -\frac{3i}{4} e^{it}$ . Now, the terms in  $s^2$  lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
z_2^{2'}(t) &= \frac{i}{2} z_2^2(t) - \frac{2}{3} p_{z_2}^2(t) \\
p_{z_2}^{2'}(t) &= \frac{3}{8} z_2^2(t) + \frac{i}{2} p_{z_2}^2(t).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $p_{y_1}^1 = 0$ ,  $z_2^2(0) = 0$  and  $p_{z_2}^2(0) = 0$ , then  $z_2^2(t) \equiv 0$  and  $p_{z_2}^2(t) \equiv 0$ . Similarly, we get  $z_2^j(t) \equiv 0$  and  $p_{z_2}^j(t) \equiv 0$  for  $j = 3, 4$ . Finally, computing the terms of order  $s^5$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
z_2^{5'}(t) &= \frac{i}{2} z_2^5(t) - \frac{2}{3} p_{z_2}^5(t) - \frac{13i}{3} a e^{3it} + 2i a e^{-it} \\
p_{z_2}^{5'}(t) &= \frac{3}{8} z_2^5(t) + \frac{i}{2} p_{z_2}^5(t) + \frac{17}{4} a e^{3it} + \frac{9}{2} a e^{-it}.
\end{aligned}$$

A particular solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
z_2^5(s, t) &= -\frac{4}{3} a e^{3it} \\
p_{z_2}^5(s, t) &= -\frac{3i}{2} a e^{3it} + 3i a e^{-it}.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**3.2. Enforcing stationarity.** We consider the  $y_1(s, t), z_2(s, t)$  components of the family of solutions (3.3) of the Hamiltonian system associated to  $\rho$ . Assume that there exists a family of stationary discs  $f_s = (g_s, h_s)$  such that  $f_s(b\Delta)$  coincides with the image of the chain  $(z_1(s, \cdot), z_2(s, \cdot))$ , where the real part of  $z_1(s, \cdot)$  is determined by  $\rho$ . In particular, note this implies that the chain  $(z_1(s, \cdot), z_2(s, \cdot))$  is periodic. We denote by  $T_s$  its period. We may assume that the projection on the second coordinate  $\pi_2 : f_s(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{z_2}$  is injective and that  $0 \in \pi_2(f_s(\Delta)) = h_s(\Delta)$ . Then we can take as  $h_s$  the unique Riemann map  $\Delta \rightarrow h_s(\Delta)$  such that  $h_s(0) = 0$  and  $h'_s(0) > 0$ .

By definition,  $f_s = (g_s, h_s)$  is stationary if and only if there exists a continuous function  $a_s : b\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and functions  $\tilde{g}_s, \tilde{h}_s \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta) \cap C(\overline{\Delta})$  satisfying

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_s(\zeta) &= \zeta a_s(\zeta) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_1} \left( g_s(\zeta), h_s(\zeta), \overline{g_s(\zeta)}, \overline{h_s(\zeta)} \right) \\ \tilde{h}_s(\zeta) &= \zeta a_s(\zeta) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_2} \left( g_s(\zeta), h_s(\zeta), \overline{g_s(\zeta)}, \overline{h_s(\zeta)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\zeta \in b\Delta$ . Define now  $\Omega_s := h_s(\Delta) \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $S_s := b\Omega_s$ . We also write  $h_s^{-1}(z) = ze^{\varphi_s(z)}$  for a certain holomorphic function  $\varphi_s(z)$ . Evaluating Equations (3.4) for  $\zeta = h_s^{-1}(z)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_s(h_s^{-1}(z)) &= ze^{\varphi_s(z)} a_s(h_s^{-1}(z)) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_1} \left( g_s(h_s^{-1}(z)), z, \overline{g_s(h_s^{-1}(z))}, \bar{z} \right) \\ \tilde{h}_s(h_s^{-1}(z)) &= ze^{\varphi_s(z)} a_s(h_s^{-1}(z)) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_2} \left( g_s(h_s^{-1}(z)), z, \overline{g_s(h_s^{-1}(z))}, \bar{z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in S_s$ . We then set  $b_s(z) := a_s(h_s^{-1}(z))$ ,  $G_s(z) := e^{-\varphi_s(z)} \tilde{g}_s(h_s^{-1}(z))$  and  $H_s(z) := e^{-\varphi_s(z)} \tilde{h}_s(h_s^{-1}(z))$ . Furthermore, if we write each disc  $f_s(\Delta)$  as a graph  $\{z_1 = w_s(z)\}$  over its projection  $\Omega_s$ , we have  $w_s(z) = g_s(h_s^{-1}(z))$ . Thus we can rewrite the previous system as

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} G_s(z) &= zb_s(z) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_1} \left( w_s(z), z, \overline{w_s(z)}, \bar{z} \right) \\ H_s(z) &= zb_s(z) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_2} \left( w_s(z), z, \overline{w_s(z)}, \bar{z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $z \in S_s$ . In order to apply the moment conditions (1.6) to the functions  $G_s$  and  $H_s$ , we need to find an adapted parametrization of the curve  $S_s$ . We first consider the scaling  $\Lambda_s : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\Lambda_s(z) = z/s$  and define  $\tilde{\Omega}_s := \Lambda_s(\Omega_s)$  and  $\tilde{S}_s := \Lambda_s(S_s)$ . Note that, with this change of variables, the moment conditions (1.6) applied to  $G_s(z)$  and  $H_s(z)$  become

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\tilde{S}_s} z^m G_s(sz) dz = \int_{\tilde{S}_s} z^m H_s(sz) dz = 0,$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ . We may now set an adapted parametrization of  $\tilde{S}_s$ . Since the image  $f_s(b\Delta)$  coincides with the image of the chain  $(z_1(s, \cdot), z_2(s, \cdot))$ , we consider the parametrization of  $\tilde{S}_s$  given by

$$(3.7) \quad [0, T_s] \ni t \mapsto \hat{z}_2(s, t) := \frac{z_2(s, t)}{s} \in \tilde{S}_s.$$

According to Lemma 7, we can write  $\hat{z}_2(s, t) = r(s, t)e^{it}$  with

$$r(s, t) = 1 + k(t)s^4 + O(s^5),$$

where

$$k(t) = -\frac{4}{3}ae^{2it}.$$

Note that  $r(s, t)$  is not necessarily real valued. Moreover, due to standard results on ODEs (see for instance [12, Theorem 4.1]), the parametrization  $\widehat{z}_2(s, t)$  is of class  $C^7$  in both variables. A straightforward computation leads to the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 8.** *For  $j \geq 1$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} r(s, t)^j &= 1 + jk(t)s^4 + O(s^5), \\ r(s, t)^j \overline{r(s, t)} &= 1 + \left( jk(t) + \overline{k(t)} \right) s^4 + O(s^5), \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial t}(s, t) &= \frac{dk}{dt}(t)s^4 + O(s^5). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in order to apply Fourier analysis later on, we need to understand the behavior of the period  $T_s$  of  $\widehat{z}_2(s, \cdot)$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 9.** *The function  $[0, \epsilon] \ni s \mapsto T_s \in \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^7$ . Furthermore, we have*

$$T_s = 2\pi + O(s^5).$$

*Proof.* The function  $(s, t) \mapsto \widehat{z}_2(s, t)$  of class  $C^7$  and, as  $s \rightarrow 0$ , converges uniformly to  $t \mapsto e^{it}$  on any fixed neighborhood of  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Since by assumption,  $\widehat{z}_2(s, t)$  parametrizes a simple closed curve on  $[0, T_s]$ , the period  $T_s$  tends to  $2\pi$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ .

To prove the smoothness of  $T_s$ , we will use the fact that the function  $\psi$  appearing in Lemma 5 is real-valued (since  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ), which implies  $\text{Im } \widehat{z}_2(s, 0) = 0$  for  $s \in [0, \epsilon]$ . Consider the function  $\iota(s, t) := \text{Im } \widehat{z}_2(s, t)$ . At  $s = 0$  and  $t = 2\pi$ , we have

$$\iota(0, 2\pi) = \text{Im } \widehat{z}_2(0, 2\pi) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \iota}{\partial t}(0, 2\pi) = \text{Im } \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\widehat{z}_2(0, 2\pi)) = 1.$$

By the implicit function theorem there exists a function  $\kappa : [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , of the same smoothness as  $\iota$ , such that  $\kappa(0) = 2\pi$  and  $\iota(s, \kappa(s)) = 0$ . We claim that  $T_s = \kappa(s)$ . Indeed, by the implicit function theorem,  $t = \kappa(s)$  represent the unique time in a neighborhood of  $t = 2\pi$  at which the curve  $\widehat{z}_2(s, t)$  crosses the line  $\text{Im } z = 0$ . Since the period of  $\widehat{z}_2(s, \cdot)$  approaches  $2\pi$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\text{Im } \widehat{z}_2(s, 0) = 0$ , we necessarily have  $\widehat{z}_2(s, \kappa(s)) = \widehat{z}_2(s, 0)$ , which means that  $T_s = \kappa(s)$  is of class  $C^7$  near  $s = 0$ .

We now turn to the asymptotic expression of  $T_s$ . By Lemma 7

$$\widehat{z}_2(s, t) = e^{it} - \frac{4}{3}s^4 a e^{3it} + O(s^5)$$

so that  $\widehat{z}_2(s, t)$  can be seen as a small perturbation of the unit circle parametrized by  $t \mapsto e^{it}$ . Denoting the velocity vector of  $\widehat{z}_2$  by  $\widehat{z}'_2 = \frac{\partial \widehat{z}_2}{\partial t}$ , we have

$$\widehat{z}'_2(s, t) = ie^{it} + O(s^4).$$

On the one hand, from the expression of  $\widehat{z}_2(s, t)$ , we have

$$\widehat{z}_2(s, 2\pi) = \widehat{z}_2(s, 0) + O(s^5).$$

On the other hand, we can write

$$\widehat{z}_2(s, 2\pi) = \widehat{z}_2(s, T_s) + \int_{T_s}^{2\pi} \widehat{z}'_2(s, t) dt$$

and since  $\widehat{z}_2(s, T_s) = \widehat{z}_2(s, 0)$ , putting together the expressions above we get

$$O(s^5) = \int_{T_s}^{2\pi} \widehat{z}'_2(s, t) dt = \int_{T_s}^{2\pi} (ie^{it} + O(s^4)) dt.$$

For  $s$  small enough we may suppose that  $|ie^{it} + O(s^4)| \geq 1/2$  and moreover that

$$|\arg(ie^{it} + O(s^4)) - \pi/2| < \pi/4$$

for  $t \in [T_s, 2\pi]$  due to the fact that  $T_s$  is close to  $2\pi$ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{Im}(ie^{it} + O(s^4)) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$$

for  $t \in [T_s, 2\pi]$  and thus

$$O(s^5) = \int_{T_s}^{2\pi} \operatorname{Im}(ie^{it} + O(s^4)) dt \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} |T_s - 2\pi|$$

so that  $|T_s - 2\pi| = O(s^5)$ . □

In view of Equation (3.6), we now define

$$c(s, t) := b_s(s\widehat{z}_2(s, t)) = a_s(h_s^{-1}(s\widehat{z}_2(s, t))) = a_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))).$$

**Lemma 10.** *There is a choice of  $a_s$  such that the function  $c(s, t)$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^4$  in a neighborhood of  $\{0\} \times [0, 2\pi]$  and satisfies  $\int_0^{2\pi} c(s, t) dt = 1$  for all  $s > 0$  small enough.*

The proof is an adaptation of both proofs of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 in [1]. The main differences come from the facts that, in the present paper, the parametrization intervals depend on  $s$ , and the first component of the discs we consider is not constant.

*Proof.* We first show that  $h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^5$  in both variables  $s$  and  $t$ . In order to extend the parametrization (3.7) to a uniform domain, namely the unit disc, we consider

$$[0, 2\pi] \ni t \mapsto \widehat{z}_2\left(s, \frac{T_s}{2\pi}t\right) \in \widetilde{S}_s.$$

According to Lemma 9, this map is of class  $\mathcal{C}^7$  in both variables  $s$  and  $t$ . Moreover, its form allows us to extend it to the interior of the unit disc, and, thus, to obtain a family of diffeomorphisms  $\Gamma_s = \Gamma(s, \cdot) : \overline{\Delta} \rightarrow \overline{\widetilde{\Omega}}_s$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^7$  in both variables  $s$  and  $z$ . It follows from Corollary 9.4 in [2] and Lemma 2.1 in [1] that the function  $(s, t) \mapsto h_s^{-1}(s\widehat{z}_2(s, \frac{T_s}{2\pi}t))$ , and so  $(s, t) \mapsto h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))$ , are of class  $\mathcal{C}^5$ .

We now define  $\widehat{a}_s$ , by

$$\frac{1}{\widehat{a}_s(\zeta)} = \zeta \partial \rho(f_s(\zeta)) \cdot f'_s(\zeta),$$

$\zeta \in b\Delta$ , where  $\cdot$  denotes the dot product in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . According to Pang [14], since  $f_s$  is stationary and satisfies (3.4) for a continuous function  $a_s$  then  $a_s$  is a positive multiple of  $\widehat{a}_s$ , where the multiple may be any function of  $s$ . We now show that the function  $(s, t) \mapsto \widehat{a}_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t)))$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^4$ . Note first that the map

$$\partial \rho(f_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t)e^{it}))) = \partial \rho\left(w_s(z_2(s, t)), z_2(s, t), \overline{w_s(z_2(s, t))}, \overline{z_2(s, t)}\right)$$

is of class  $C^7$  in both variables. To study the smoothness of  $f'_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t)))$ , note that by the chain rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(z_1(s, t), z_2(s, t)) &= \frac{d}{dt}f_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))) \\ &= f'_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))) \cdot \frac{d}{dt}h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t)), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$f'_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))) = \left( \frac{\frac{d}{dt}(z_1(s, t))}{\frac{d}{dt}h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))}, \frac{\frac{d}{dt}(z_2(s, t))}{\frac{d}{dt}h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))} \right).$$

Following the proof of Lemma 3.3 in [1], we have  $h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t)) = e^{it} + O(s)$ , and since  $h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t))$  is of class  $C^5$ , so is the map  $f'_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t)))$ . This shows that the function  $(s, t) \mapsto \widehat{a}_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t)))$  is of class  $C^4$ .

Finally, with the same proof of Lemma 3.4 in [1], we get

$$\frac{1}{\widehat{a}_s(h_s^{-1}(z_2(s, t)))} = s^2 + O(s^3).$$

The function  $a_s$  we seek can be obtained by rescaling  $\widehat{a}_s$  to ensure  $\int_0^{2\pi} c(t, s) dt = 1$  for all  $s > 0$  small enough.  $\square$

We are now in a position to apply the moment conditions (3.6) to the system (3.5). We start with the function  $G_s$ :

$$\int_{\widetilde{S}_s} z^m \left( szb_s(sz) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_1}(w_s(sz), sz, \overline{w_s(sz)}, s\bar{z}) \right) dz = 0,$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ , that is, using the form of the defining function  $\rho$ ,

$$\int_{\widetilde{S}_s} z^j b_s(sz) \left( 1 + \frac{i}{2} \eta(\operatorname{Im} w_s(sz), sz, s\bar{z}) - (\operatorname{Im} w_s(sz)) \cdot \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial z_1}(\operatorname{Im} w_s(sz), sz, s\bar{z}) \right) dz = 0$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ . We use the parametrization of  $\widetilde{S}_s$  given by (3.7). With this parametrization, as observed in Remark 6,  $s\widehat{z}_2$  is of order  $O(s)$  and  $\operatorname{Im} w_s(s\widehat{z}_2) = y_1$  of order  $O(s^2)$ . Since  $\eta$  is of weighted order  $O(6)$ , the first term involving  $\eta$  in the above integral is of order  $O(s^6)$ , while the second one is of order  $O(s^7)$ . Accordingly, we obtain, for  $j \geq 1$

$$\int_0^{T_s} r^j e^{i(j+1)t} b_s(sre^{it})(1 + O(s^6)) \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + ir \right) dt = 0.$$

Using Lemma 8, we have

$$\int_0^{T_s} e^{i(j+1)t} c(s, t) (1 + jk(t)s^4 + O(s^5)) \left( i + \left( \frac{dk}{dt}(t) + ik(t) \right) s^4 + O(s^5) \right) dt = 0.$$

Developing the product leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{T_s} e^{i(j+1)t} c(s, t) \left( 1 - \frac{4}{3} jae^{2it} s^4 + O(s^5) \right) (i - 4iae^{2it} s^4 + O(s^5)) dt = \\ &= i \int_0^{T_s} e^{i(j+1)t} c(s, t) \left( 1 - \frac{4}{3} (j+3)ae^{2it} s^4 + O(s^5) \right) dt = 0 \end{aligned}$$

In order to apply Fourier Analysis, we apply the change of variables  $t \mapsto \frac{2\pi}{T_s}t$  and, using Lemma 9, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(j+1)\frac{T_s}{2\pi}t} c\left(s, \frac{T_s}{2\pi}t\right) \left(1 - \frac{4}{3}(j+3)ae^{2i\frac{T_s}{\pi}t}s^4 + O(s^5)\right) dt \\ &= i \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(j+1)t} c(s, t) \left(1 - \frac{4}{3}(j+3)ae^{2it}s^4 + O(s^5)\right) dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We may then expand  $c(s, \cdot)$  in its Fourier series

$$c(s, t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \gamma_k(s) e^{ikt}$$

where  $\gamma_{-k} = \overline{\gamma_k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and, by Lemma 10,  $\gamma_k$  is  $C^4$  and satisfies  $\gamma_0(s) \equiv 1$ . Inserting the Fourier expansion of  $c(s, t)$  in

$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(j+1)t} c(s, t) \left(1 - \frac{4}{3}(j+3)ae^{2it}s^4 + O(s^5)\right) dt = 0,$$

we deduce that

$$(3.8) \quad \overline{\gamma}_{j+1}(s) = O(s^4),$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ . Taking the fourth derivative with respect to  $s$ , we get

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^4 \binom{4}{\ell} \left( \frac{d^\ell \overline{\gamma}_{j+1}}{ds^\ell}(s) \delta_4^\ell - \frac{4!}{\ell!} \left( \frac{4}{3}(j+3)a \frac{d^\ell \overline{\gamma}_{j+3}}{ds^\ell}(s) \right) s^\ell + O(s^{\ell+1}) \right) = 0,$$

where  $\delta_4^\ell$  is the Kronecker symbol, which for  $j = 1$  leads to

$$\frac{d^4 \overline{\gamma}_2}{ds^4}(s) - 4! \frac{16}{3} a \overline{\gamma}_4(s) = O(s),$$

implying that

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{d^4 \overline{\gamma}_2}{ds^4}(s) = O(s).$$

We now apply the moment conditions (3.6) to the function  $H_s$  in (3.5):

$$\int_{\tilde{S}_s} z^m \left( sz b_s(sz) \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z_2}(w_s(sz), sz, \overline{w_s(sz)}, s\bar{z}) \right) dz = 0,$$

for all  $m \geq 0$ . Due to the form of the defining equation  $\rho$ , we get

$$\int_{\tilde{S}_s} z^j b_s(sz) \left( s\bar{z} + 2as^5 z\bar{z}^4 + 4as^5 z^3 \bar{z}^2 + (\text{Im } w_s(sz)) \cdot \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial z_2}(\text{Im } w_s(sz), sz, s\bar{z}) + \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial z_2}(sz, s\bar{z}) \right) dz = 0,$$

for all  $j \geq 1$ . We once again parametrize  $\tilde{S}_s$  by (3.7). With this parametrization, the term involving  $\eta$  in the above integral is of order  $O(s^7)$ , and the one involving  $\delta$  is of order  $O(s^6)$ . We then obtain

$$\int_0^{T_s} e^{i(j+1)t} c(s, t) \left( r^j \bar{r} e^{-it} s + r^j (2ar\bar{r}^4 e^{-3it} + 4ar^3 \bar{r}^2 e^{it}) s^5 + r^j O(s^6) \right) \left( \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + ir \right) dt = 0.$$

Using once again Lemma 8 and dividing by  $is$  gives

$$\int_0^{T_s} e^{i(j+1)t} c(s, t) \left( e^{-it} + s^4 \left( \frac{2}{3} a e^{-3it} + \left( -\frac{4}{3}j + 4 \right) a e^{it} \right) + O(s^5) \right) dt.$$

$$\cdot (1 - 4ae^{2it}s^4 + O(s^5)) dt = 0,$$

and, after developing the product, and applying as above the change of variables  $t \mapsto \frac{2\pi}{T_s}t$ , we obtain for  $j \geq 1$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} c(s, t) \left( e^{ijt} + \frac{2}{3} \left( ae^{i(j-2)t} - \frac{4}{3}jae^{i(j+2)t} \right) s^4 + O(s^5) \right) dt = 0.$$

Once again, we integrate from 0 to  $2\pi$ , insert the Fourier expansion of  $c(s, t)$ , and differentiate four times with respect to  $s$ , and obtain

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^4 \binom{4}{\ell} \left( \frac{d^\ell \bar{\gamma}_j}{ds^\ell}(s) \delta_4^\ell + \frac{4!}{\ell!} \left( \frac{2}{3}a \frac{d^\ell \bar{\gamma}_{j-2}}{ds^\ell}(s) - \frac{4}{3}ja \frac{d^\ell \bar{\gamma}_{j+2}}{ds^\ell}(s) \right) s^\ell + O(s^{\ell+1}) \right) = 0.$$

For  $j = 2$ , this implies

$$\frac{d^4 \bar{\gamma}_2}{ds^4}(s) - 4! \left( \frac{2}{3}a \bar{\gamma}_0(s) - \frac{8}{3}a \bar{\gamma}_4(s) \right) = O(s).$$

Using (3.8), (3.9), we then deduce that  $\frac{2}{3}a \bar{\gamma}_0(s) = \frac{2}{3}a = 0$ . This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] F. Bertrand, G. Della Sala, and B. Lamel. Extremal discs and segre varieties for real-analytic hypersurfaces in  $\mathbf{C}^2$ . *To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2022.
- [2] F. Bertrand and X. Gong. Dirichlet and Neumann problems for planar domains with parameter. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(1):159–217, 2014.
- [3] E. Cartan. Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes II. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa. Classe di Scienze. Serie II*, 1(4):333–354, 1932.
- [4] E. Cartan. Sur la géométrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, Series 4*, 11(1):17–90, Dec. 1933.
- [5] S. S. Chern and J. K. Moser. Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds. *Acta Mathematica*, 133:219–271, 1974.
- [6] J. J. Faran, V. Lewy's curves and chains on real hypersurfaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 265(1):97–109, 1981.
- [7] C. Fefferman. Correction to: "Monge-Ampère equations, the Bergman kernel, and geometry of pseudoconvex domains" (Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), no. 2, 395–416). *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 104(2):393–394, 1976.
- [8] C. L. Fefferman. Monge-Ampère equations, the Bergman kernel, and geometry of pseudoconvex domains. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 103(2):395–416, 1976.
- [9] F. Forstnerič. Analytic disks with boundaries in a maximal real submanifold of  $\mathbf{C}^2$ . *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 37(1):1–44, 1987.
- [10] J. Globevnik. Perturbation by analytic discs along maximal real submanifolds of  $\mathbf{C}^N$ . *Math. Z.*, 217(2):287–316, 1994.
- [11] J. Globevnik. Perturbing analytic discs attached to maximal real submanifolds of  $\mathbf{C}^N$ . *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 7(1):37–46, 1996.
- [12] P. Hartman. *Ordinary differential equations*, volume 38 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2002. Corrected reprint of the second (1982) edition [Birkhäuser, Boston, MA; MR0658490 (83e:34002)], With a foreword by Peter Bates.
- [13] L. Lempert. La métrique de Kobayashi et la représentation des domaines sur la boule. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 109(4):427–474, 1981.
- [14] M.-Y. Pang. Smoothness of the Kobayashi metric of nonconvex domains. *Internat. J. Math.*, 4(6):953–987, 1993.



- [15] S. M. Webster. On the reflection principle in several complex variables. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71(1):26–28, 1978.

Florian Bertrand  
Department of Mathematics  
American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon  
*E-mail address:* fb31@aub.edu.lb

Giuseppe Della Sala  
Department of Mathematics  
American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon  
*E-mail address:* gd16@aub.edu.lb

Bernhard Lamel  
Department of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, Vienna,  
1090, Austria  
*E-mail address:* bernhard.lamel@univie.ac.at